

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/852,235	05/10/2001	Takayuki Taniguchi	208371US2S	4207
22850	7590 11/05/2004		EXAM	INER
	SPIVAK, MCCLELLAN	MCFADDEN, SUSAN IRIS		
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ADDIAN	JKIN, VA 22514		2655	
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/852,235	TANIGUCHI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Susan McFadden	2655	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 01 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	a timely filed amendment which places the application in with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued
PERIOD FOR REF	PLY [check either a) or b)]
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS F 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The d fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension e shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's I 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Brief must be filed within the period set forth in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered bed	cause:
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	olow);
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelin NOTE:	g a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	e allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for r application in condition for allowance because:	econsideration has been considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered beca raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims work	s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b)⊠ will be entered and an uld be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>28-53</u> .	•
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	Susan McFadden Primary Examiner
	Art Unit: 2655

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation of 10. Other: The amended claims do not overcome the prior art rejection using Mauro. Mauro shows the features of noise suppression claimed. In regard to the Applicants remarks that the Final Rejection was premature, the claims had been cancelled and new ones were added and searched and new rejections were made on the previously allowed subject matter.